## MANE-VU Approach to the Development of "Consulting Groups"

On November 1, representatives from each RPO and the FLMs began a dialogue aimed at identifying groups of Class I areas that might serve to focus consultations for purposes of the regional haze rule. While it appears that consultations will be conducted state-to-state, the RPO representatives agreed that there may be a role for the RPO staff in identifying Class I areas with common visibility issues where a joint consultation process might be more efficient. At this point, the focus of the RPO efforts is to help identify common Class I "consulting groups" and leave it to the states involved in any future joint consultation process to discuss details regarding the nature and extent of state contributions to a common Class I group. Another role that the RPOs may play in the process is to assist with the scheduling of consultations so as to ensure that RPOdeveloped technical products would be ready and available to facilitate state discussions.

The Class I states within the MANE-VU RPO have considered the question of how best to group common Class I areas from the perspective of forming consulting groups. After reviewing monitoring and modeling data related to the sources of visibility impairment for each Class I site, they have proposed an approach that would create a single consulting group that encompasses all MANE-VU Class I sites. The "MANE-VU consulting group" would consist of the Acadia National Park, Maine; Brigantine Wilderness (within the Edwin B. Forsythe National Wildlife Refuge), New Jersey; Great Gulf Wilderness, New Hampshire; Lye Brook Wilderness, Vermont; Moosehorn Wilderness (within the Moosehorn National Wildlife Refuge), Maine; Presidential Range – Dry River Wilderness, New Hampshire; and Roosevelt Campobello International Park, New Brunswick.

The Class I states of MANE-VU recognize some differences between the Brigantine Wilderness and the northern tier of Class I sites in Vermont, New Hampshire and Maine. However, when viewed from the perspective of contributions to sulfate pollution – which is still the dominant form of visibility impairment experienced on the twenty percent worst visibility days at all MANE-VU sites – the group found more similarities than differences and felt that a single consulting group representing all MANE-VU sites offered the best opportunity to engage contributing states in a meaningful consultation process.

MANE-VU, therefore, proposes the addition of the MANE-VU consulting group to those already suggested by the Mid-West RPO in their October 19 memorandum. The revised "Table 1" on the next page reflects the proposed composition of the MANE-VU consulting group in a manner similar to that of the October 19 memo for three other proposed consulting groups. The MANE-VU Class I states are planning to contact those states listed in the proposed consulting group shortly to initiate the consultation process.

RPO	State	MI/MN	AR/MO/KY	VA/WV	MANE-VU
		(BOWA, VOYA, ISRO, SEN)	(UPBU, MINGO, HG, MACA)	(DOSO, SHEN, JRIV)	(ACAD, MOOS, GRGU, LYBR,
MANIE VIII	Commentions				BRIG)
MANE-VU	Connecticut				X
	Delaware				X
	Maine				X
	Maryland			X	X
	Massachusetts				X
	New Hampshire				X
	New Jersey				X
	New York				X
	Pennsylvania			X	X
	Rhode Island				X
	Vermont				X
VISTAS	Alabama				
	Florida				
	Georgia				Х
	Kentucky		X		X
	Mississippi				
	North Carolina				X
	South Carolina				X
	Tennessee		X		X
	Virginia			X	X
	West Virginia			X	X
MRPO	Illinois	X	X		X
	Indiana	?	X		X
	Michigan	X	Λ		X
	Ohio	Λ		v	
	Wisconsin	X		X	X
	WISCONSIII	Α			
CENRAP	Arkansas		X		
	Iowa	X			
	Kansas				
	Louisiana				
	Minnesota	X			
	Missouri	?	X		
	Nebraska				
	Oklahoma				
	Texas				
WRAP	N. D.L.				
	N. Dakota	X			
	S. Dakota				
	Other Western States				
	Manitoba				
Canada	New Brunswick				v
					X
	Ontario	X			X
	Quebec				X
	Other Provinces				<u> </u>